UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of North Carolina

V.		
	Case No: 3:00CR68-1	
Ronnie Logan	USM No: 14877-058	
Date of Original Judgment: February 13, 2001		
Date of Last Amended Judgment: N/A	Randolph Lee Defendant's Attorney	
Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)		
Upon motion of ■ the defendant □ the Director § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment improvements been lowered and made retroactive by the Unite § 994(u), and having considered such motion, and taking into and the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a), to IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:	osed based on a guideline sentencing range that has d States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S. account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1	C.
☐ DENIED. ■ GRANTED and the defendant's p	previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflects is reduced to 167 months	ted in
I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE Original Offense Level: Criminal History Category: Original Guideline Range: 240 to 240 months		
The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline ran The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than	the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the	time
of sentencing and the reduced sentence is comparably less The reduced sentence is above the amended guideline rang Other (explain): The original sentence in this case was lir	ge. nited to a statutory maximum of 20 years (240 month nme the applicable guideline range, per USSG § 5G1.	s) by
of sentencing and the reduced sentence is comparably less The reduced sentence is above the amended guideline rang Other (explain): The original sentence in this case was lin Apprendi v. New Jersey, which then bec	al plan accepted by the U.S. Probation Officer proon of supervised release the defendant shall subr	s) by 1(a).
of sentencing and the reduced sentence is comparably less The reduced sentence is above the amended guideline rang Other (explain): The original sentence in this case was lin Apprendi v. New Jersey, which then become The revised guideline range is similarly in the revised guideline range is similarly in the local Residential Reentry Center for a period not to	al plan accepted by the U.S. Probation Officer properties of supervised release the defendant shall subrexceed 90 days, with work release, at the direction	s) by 1(a).
of sentencing and the reduced sentence is comparably less The reduced sentence is above the amended guideline rang Other (explain): The original sentence in this case was lin Apprendi v. New Jersey, which then become the revised guideline range is similarly in the revised guideline range is similarly in the local Residential Reentry Center for a period not to the U.S. Probation Officer.	al plan accepted by the U.S. Probation Officer pron of supervised release the defendant shall subrexceed 90 days, with work release, at the direction of September 13, 2001, shall remain in effect.	s) by 1(a).
of sentencing and the reduced sentence is comparably less The reduced sentence is above the amended guideline rang Other (explain): The original sentence in this case was lin Apprendi v. New Jersey, which then bec The revised guideline range is similarly III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS Upon release from imprisonment, and absent a residential release from incarceration, it is ordered that as a condition the local Residential Reentry Center for a period not to the U.S. Probation Officer. Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment date.	al plan accepted by the U.S. Probation Officer properties of supervised release the defendant shall subrexceed 90 days, with work release, at the direction	s) by 1(a).